

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/711,298 | 09/09/2004 | Michael M. Iwatake | FIS920040156 5297 | | |
| 32074 | 7590 06/22/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION | | | MAI, ANH D | | |
| DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300- | 482 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 2070 ROUTE 52 | | | 2814 | | |
| HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533 | | | DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/711,298 | IWATAKE ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Anh D. Mai | 2814 | | |

| | , = | _ | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Anh D. Mai | 2814 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). | f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENIMENTS. | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal (| of the appeal. | | | |
| AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f will not be entered | hacausa | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | advoina or circulifying | m the icques for | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | eaucing or simplifying | g the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | - F | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>See Office Action malied April 13, 200</u> | 6. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | - | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or atta | ched. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ance because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The finality of the Office Action is proper because the scope of the claim has been altered even so slightly.

Applicant has full right to disagree with the Examiner's rejection. However, upon reviewing of the Office Action, the Examiner found no errors were committed in the Action nor the application of arts.

The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but found not persuasive. Therefore all rejections are maintained.

ANH D. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER